

Affiliate Membership*

A regular member submits a request in writing to the Board of Directors to invite a prospective member to present an Oral Case Report as the first step in consideration for affiliate membership. With a $\frac{3}{4}$ majority approval of the Board of Directors, the applicant is invited to present an Oral Case Report.*

I. Oral Case Report: Case selection

The prospective member, with the help of their sponsor, shall select three finished cases to be presented to the Clinical Evaluation Committee (CEC) with a one page write-up of each case. The Committee will select one of the three cases submitted, or ask for another case to be chosen for the Oral Case Report.

The sponsors and the CEC will assist the prospective member in organizing the Oral Case Report presentation to the membership. See Guidelines for Oral Case Report.

Upon successful completion of the Oral Case Report and after an affirmative vote by ballot of $\frac{3}{4}$ of the membership entitled to vote, the applicant is elected to Affiliate Membership.*

II. Attendance

- a. Regular attendance at Component meetings is a requirement for election to full membership. If you are unable to attend a meeting, notify your sponsor and the secretary or the president and explain your absence.
- b. Regular attendance at the National Biennial meeting is strongly recommended and attendance at one biennial is required for full membership.

III. Case Reports

Following the successful presentation of an Oral Case report, the newly elected Affiliate Member, with the help of their sponsor, will select six cases to be presented to the Clinical Evaluation Committee according to the Guidelines for Written Case Reports. The affiliate is first asked to submit one completed, trial write-up to the Clinical Evaluation Committee (CEC) for review before finishing the remaining cases.

Following completion of the six written case reports and approval of the board, the cases are presented to the membership at a regular meeting.

IV. Paper

- A. The affiliate member shall submit, during the period of his affiliate membership, a written contribution suitable for consideration for publication in *The Angle Orthodontist*.*.
- B. The subject of the paper must be approved by the Thesis Committee.
- C. Possible categories for the thesis subject matter include:
 1. An original investigation of some aspect of orthodontics. This investigation would have the following components:
 - a. A testable hypothesis;
 - b. A thorough review of the literature pertaining to previous or related work in that area;

- c. A methods section describing: exactly how the sample was obtained (if clinical), the measurement methods(s) and measurement error, and what statistical analysis was used;
 - d. A results section;
 - e. A discussion section which examines the results obtained in relation to previous work and the significance (or lack of) of the study in relation to orthodontic diagnosis or treatment.
2. A thorough review of the literature on some clinical or basic research aspect of orthodontics.
 3. An in-depth analysis of a problem or subject that would be of interest to the members of the Angle Society. Examples could be motivational techniques, attracting and retaining a new practice associate or other aspects of clinical practice. The topic would represent a new concept, methodology or protocol not published in the orthodontic literature. This would include a thorough review of all of the literature related to the thesis subject.
- D. The project must be an original paper and not one prepared previously for another purpose. It must be approved by the Thesis Committee within three months of completion of the Written Case Report Requirement. An interim progress report is due within twelve months.
- E. The paper is submitted to the Thesis Committee in publishable form (double spaced with appropriate illustrations). A discussor will be assigned by the Thesis Committee. The oral presentation to the Northern California Component is scheduled approximately three months later.

Timetable for Completion of Affiliate Membership

EVENT	INTERVAL FOLLOWING PRECEDING EVENT	TOTAL ELAPSED TIME
ACCEPTANCE TO BECOME AN AFFILIATE MEMBERSHIP		
FIRST CASE REPORT TO CEC	4 MONTHS	4 MONTHS
SIX CASES TO CEC	5 MONTHS	9 MONTHS
BOARD APPROVAL	NEXT BOARD MEETING	~ 1 WEEK
CASE DISPLAY TO MEMBERSHIP	NEXT REGULAR MEETING	VARIABLE
THESIS APPROVAL	3 MONTHS	12 MONTHS
THESIS PROGRESS REPORT	9 MONTHS	21 MONTHS
THESIS TO DISCUSSEER	12 MONTHS	33 MONTHS
THESIS PRESENTATION	3 MONTHS	36 MONTHS
BOARD APPROVAL	NEXT BOARD MEETING	VARIABLE
APPROVAL OF MEMBERS	VARIABLE	VARIABLE
RECEIVE CERTIFICATE OF MEMBERSHIP	5 MONTHS	VARIABLE

- **Additional tests**

The Board of Directors or Executive Committee of the Central Body or the Board of this component may give such additional tests, either written, oral or practical, as any of said bodies shall deem necessary in order to determine the qualifications for regular membership of any affiliate member.*

- **Sponsor's Responsibilities:**

- Remember that Clinical Excellence is the hallmark of the Angle Society.
- Know the prospective member and the quality of their orthodontic treatment well; visit their office to observe first hand the level of clinical excellence practiced, before presenting their name to the board.
- Assist the prospective member with the selection of the three cases to be presented to the CEC for choosing a case for the Oral Case Report.
- Assist the prospective member in the preparation of the Oral Case Report.
- Help develop a line-item schedule to assure that the newly elected Affiliate Member will complete the membership requirements in 36 months.
- Initiate an early an ongoing discussion to help the Affiliate Member identify an appropriate subject to satisfy the Thesis or Paper Requirement as they prepare the Six Written Case Reports.
- Assist the Affiliate Member with the selection and preparation of the six cases for the Written Case Reports.
- Do not abandon the Affiliate Member, stay with them and encourage them throughout the 36 month process.

Affiliate Chart

Name	Oral Report	Thesis App	Thesis Prog	Thesis Discuss	Thesis Finish	1 st Case Review	6 Case Report
Hunt, Howard howeird@humboldt1.com	4/29/05 Wear/ Kai	1/07	11/07	11/08	1/09 to be presented 9/09		11/06
Paul Kasrovi pmkasrovi@aol.com	2/1/08 Dumars/ Dugoni	9/09	4/10	4/10	11/11	6/08	4/09
Michael Payne payneortho@aol.com	11/4/07 Worth/ Peck	1/09	11/09	11/10	1/11	4/08	9/09
Robert Kelleher rkelleher@sbcglobal.com	11/9/07 Worth/ Jaul	1/09	11/09	11/10	1/11	4/09	9/09
Patricia Sing Choi pattychoi@sbcglobal.net	2/01/08 Odom/ Dugoni	4/09	1/10	1/11	4/11	4/09	9/09
Adrian Vogt vogtadrian@hotmail.com	11/9/07 Aubert/ Hatasaka	1/10	1/11	4/11	11/11	2/09	11/09
Tom Marcel tjmarcel@marcelorthodontics.com	1/30/09 Righellis /Neilson	4/10	11/10	1/12	11/11	11/09	1/10

Amer Hesham (pending)	Aubert/ Dugoni						
-----------------------	-------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--